D&D ICB Practices involvement in the General Practice Improvement Programme (GPIP) as part of the **Primary Care Access Improvement Plan**

For the consideration and comment of any interested D&D PPG Network members

I have an interest in a November ICB Board agenda item 094 (for them to note only). The specific part that I think may be of interest to other PPG's relates to the update's recording that '83 practices have taken part/taking part in the National General Practice Improvement Programme;

My own Practice is currently taking part and they have made some very positive and generally well received changes (albeit they haven't directly involved the PPG/patients quite as much as perhaps I would have liked (a). The profile of this programme with patients is mostly seen as low if not non existent and yet most all change in GP Practices impact on its customers. In NW London as part of their 'Primary Care Access Improvement Plan' under which the NGPIP sits, failure to involve patients in changes to PCN wide urgent appointments led to near riots in the street and an embarrassing u-turn by the ICB! Needless to say patient engagement is now a part of the change process. If via this PPG Network its profile could be raised, I think patients are more likely to be able to influence Practice and or PCN based decisions, unless they already are?

I asked the ICB, via Hannah, for an update on the NGPIP in D&D ICB be given to the PPG Network, ideally in December. Specifically, I thought the PPGs would find it useful to know and asked the following:

Which D&D Practices:

- 1. are currently involved;
- 2. have completed their involvement and how many made any resulting changes; (I am aware it is resource heavy and some were unable to complete as a result)
- 3. have actively sought patient influence over any decisions and how these voices were heard e.g. surveys, PPGs

I very quickly got the below full and much appreciated *response*:

1. Which D&D Practices are currently involved:

60 practices are currently involved or have completed but not yet arranged their GPIP review:

- 1. Brooklyn Medical Practice
- 2. Kelvingrove Medical Centre
- 3. Arthur Medical Centre
- 4. Wilson Street Surgery
- 5. Gladstone House Surgery
- 6. Littlewick Medical Centre

- West Park Surgery
 Peartree Medical Centre
 Elmwood Medical Centre
- 10. Chesterfield Medical Partnership
- 11. Royal Primary Care
- 12. Overdale Medical Practice
- 13. The Park Medical Practice
- 14. Royal Primary Care-Clay Cross
- 15. Swadlincote Surgery
- 16. Barlborough Medical Practice
- 17. Killamarsh Medical Practice
- 18. Springs Health Centre
- 19. The Valleys Medical Partnership
- 20. Ripley Medical Centre
- 21. Osmaston Surgery
- 22. Lister House Chellaston
- 23. Lister House Surgery

- 24. Baslow Health Centre
- 25. Eyam Surgery
- 26. Peak & Dales Medical Partnership
- 27. The Aitune Medical Practice
- 28. Cottage Lane Surgery
- 29. Howard Street Medical Practice (Since Merged with Manor House)
- 30. Lambgates Health Centre
- 31. Manor House Surgery
- 32. Simmondley Medical Practice
- 33. Brook Medical Centre
- 34. Chapel Street Medical Centre
- 35. Derwent Valley Medical Practice
- 36. Mickleover Medical Centre
- 37. Mickleover Surgery
- 38. Park Farm Medical Centre
- 39. Park Lane Surgery
- 40. Derby Family Medical Centre
- 41. Vernon Street Medical Ctr
- 42. Jessop Medical Practice
- 43. Dronfield Medical Practice
- 44. Whittington Moor Surgery 45. Village Surgery

D&D ICB Practices involvement in the General Practice Improvement Programme (GPIP) as part of the Primary Care Access Improvement Plan

- 46. Willington Surgery
- 47. Darley Dale Medical Centre
- 48. The Moir Medical Centre
- 49. Goyt Valley Medical Practice
- 50. Hartington Surgery
- 51. Thornbrook Surgery
- 52. Shires Healthcare
- 53. Brailsford & Hulland Medical Practice

- 54. Hannage Brook
- 55. The Surgery Clifton Road 55. The Surgery (56. Staffa Health

 - 57. Limes Medical Centre
 - 58. College Street Medical Practice
 - 59. Arden House
 - 60. Evelyn
- 2. Which D&D Practices have completed their involvement and how many made any resulting changes; (I am aware it is resource heavy and some were unable to complete as a result)

25 practices have completed and had a GPIP review with the ICB, all have resulted in making changes to move to the Modern General Practice Access Model. (Only 1 practice did not finish, however they completed the majority of the programme and demonstrated that they have made required changes).

- 1. Crich Medical Practice
- 2. Parkside Surgery
- 3. Appletree Medical Practice
- 4. Riversdale Surgery
- 5. Whitemoor Medical Centre
- 6. The Brimington Surgery
- 7. Horizon Healthcare
- 8. Wellbrook Medical Centre
- 9. Old Station Surgery
- Old Station Surgery
 St Thomas Road Surgery (Since Merged with Wilson Street)
 Clay Cross Medical Centre
 Gresleydale Healthcare Centre
 Park Surgery
 Crags Health Care
 Imperial Road Surgery
 Ashover Medical Centre
 Welbeck Road Surgery

- 13. Heartwood Medical Practice
- 14. Newhall Surgery
- 15. Woodville Surgery
- 16. Friar Gate Surgery
- 17. Sett Valley Medical Centre
- 18. Inspire Health
- 19. West Hallam Medical Ctr
- 20. Stubley Medical Centre
- 21. Park Surgery
- 24. Ashover Medical Centre
- 25. Welbeck Road Surgery
- 3) Which D&D Practices have actively sought patient influence over any decisions and how these voices were heard e.g. surveys, PPGs.

All practices the ICB have completed a GPIP review meeting with have sought patient influence through a range of methods including surveys and PPGs. They have also shared information about the changes through social media, their websites and notice boards.

All sounds like perfect patient engagement doesn't it?! I can think of several follow up questions and draw my own conclusions regarding the replies but would welcome the views of others to help with if and how I may use this.

I am a lay representative on the D&D ICB's Public Partnership Committee (PPC) that's key role is to provide assurance (or otherwise) to the ICB that the patient voice is sought, listened too and where appropriate influencing ICB decisions (this includes their service providers). The ICB has a key responsibility to see this is the case with regard to GP contracts. In my lay capacity, I would really like to know what the PPG Network members views are regarding my questions and the provided answering update. I'd be particularly interested to know:

- a) levels of PPG awareness in this Practice improvement activity inc resulting decisions;
- b) levels of PPG involvement in this Practice improvement activity;
- c) whether in your view (b) matters or not! (I'll generally take a nil response as it not mattering)

and any other comments you may have.

Please eMail me timapeacock@hotmail.com